

**From:** Stacey Roth  
**To:** Fontaine, Peter  
**CC:** 'Valeri, JohnG.'; Wittenberg, Nancy  
**Date:** 10/11/2013 4:49 PM  
**Subject:** RE: SJG Proposed Pipeline

Pete:

If you have not heard, Fred Ackers presented his alternate route to the Commissioners during public comment at the Commission meeting today. That route, along Route 50, is gaining momentum with many Commissioners as an alternative to Route A, especially given its location at the edge of the Forest Area and at the border of the Pinelands Area and the PNR. Absent a very compelling reason as to why this alternative is not feasible, I think it will be very difficult to convince the Commission that Route A is the only or best alternative.

With regard to the Conectiv offset methodology, as far as I know, there is no documentation in a file to provide to you. The prior Executive Director negotiated the offset directly. I had not involvement. I have asked Larry Liggett to attend the meeting on Tuesday given he was involved in developing the methodology. That is the best I can do. However, the information that I provided in the note to the offset outline sums up the methodology; there really isn't much more information to provide.

Finally, we have set aside time starting at 2:00 p.m. on Tuesday to meet to discuss the responses to the questions raised at the September P&I meeting, the Route 50 alternative and the offset outline. We would appreciate receiving your written responses by email given that Monday is a State Holiday and Nancy and I will need to retrieve this information remotely.

Thanks, Stacey

>>> "Fontaine, Peter" <[PFontaine@cozen.com](mailto:PFontaine@cozen.com)> 10/10/2013 4:23 PM >>>  
Stacey:

That's fine. We will provide you with our written responses on Monday. I'm sure you understand that, with regard to the 2004 Conectiv offset methodology, we will need you to provide more than the footnote you inserted into the proposal. Please pull the file from 2004 and provide us with actual documentation used to support the offset calculation in that MOA. This is a public document and it should not be a problem providing this to us.

Best regards,

Pete

Peter J. Fontaine | Cozen O'Connor  
A Pennsylvania Professional Corporation  
1900 Market Street | Philadelphia, PA 19103 | P: 215.665.2723 | C: 856.607.1077 | F: 866.850.7491  
457 Haddonfield Road, Suite 300 | Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 | P: 856.910.5043 |  
[pfontaine@cozen.com](mailto:pfontaine@cozen.com) | [www.cozen.com](http://www.cozen.com) | [http://www.cozen.com/attorney\\_detail.asp?d=1&m=0&atid=610&stg=0](http://www.cozen.com/attorney_detail.asp?d=1&m=0&atid=610&stg=0)  
P Please consider the environment before printing this email.

-----Original Message-----

From: Stacey Roth [<mailto:Stacey.Roth@njpinestates.com>]  
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2013 10:53 AM  
To: Fontaine, Peter  
Cc: Nancy Wittenberg  
Subject: RE: SJG Proposed Pipeline

Pete,

As I mentioned yesterday, although Nancy and I are available to meet on Tuesday, we will not confirm the meeting until we receive information that addresses the questions and receivables that I sent to you yesterday.

Please advise when we can expect to receive same.

Thanks, Stacey

>>> "Fontaine, Peter" <PFontaine@cozen.com> 10/10/2013 10:45 AM >>>  
Stacey:

We would like to meet on Tuesday from 1:00-4:00. Please confirm that this works.

Pete

Peter J. Fontaine | Cozen O'Connor  
A Pennsylvania Professional Corporation  
1900 Market Street | Philadelphia, PA 19103 | P: 215.665.2723 | C:  
856.607.1077 | F: 866.850.7491  
457 Haddonfield Road, Suite 300 | Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 | P:  
856.910.5043 |  
[pfontaine@cozen.com](mailto:pfontaine@cozen.com) | [www.cozen.com](http://www.cozen.com) |  
[http://www.cozen.com/attorney\\_detail.asp?d=1&m=0&atid=610&stg=0](http://www.cozen.com/attorney_detail.asp?d=1&m=0&atid=610&stg=0)  
P Please consider the environment before printing this email.

-----Original Message-----

From: Stacey Roth [<mailto:Stacey.Roth@njpines.state.nj.us>]  
Sent: Wednesday, October 09, 2013 11:59 AM  
To: Fontaine, Peter  
Cc: Nancy Wittenberg  
Subject: SJG Proposed Pipeline

Pete,

Nancy and I have reviewed our schedules and would be available the following dates and times. However, we will need SJG's written responses to the questions and other information that I requested at least one day in advance of that meeting.

Please advise as to what date works for you.

Best, Stacey

Tues 10/15 in the pm  
Wed 10/16 all day  
Thurs. 10/17 in the pm  
Fri 10/18 all day

---

Notice: To comply with certain U.S. Treasury regulations, we inform you that, unless expressly stated otherwise, any U.S. federal tax advice